

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,241	10/27/2003	Paul Bentley	38398/284438	3741
23370 75	12/08/2005		EXAM	INER
JOHN S. PRATT, ESQ KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET			DINH, TIE	N QUANG
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30309		3644		

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/694,241	BENTLEY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
_	Tien Dinh	3644			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply	•	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a re will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON to, cause the application to become AB	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
	···				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 13-17</u> is/are pending in the a	application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not i	eceivea.			
Attachment(s)	· <u> </u>				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20051205			

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8, 13, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kitamoto et al.

Kitamoto et al teaches a plurality of longitudinally-spaced passenger seating unit having a seat with a base frame and main frame and ancillary furniture. The seating unit is attached to the floor of an aircraft via tracks that are not parallel to the seating unit. The seating unit also has a sleeping unit. See figures. Since the track is curved and defining the longitudinal track axis, the longitudinal track axis will form an angle with the longitudinal seat axis that is greater than zero. The longitudinally space seats have axis that are parallel.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6-8 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Francois et al or Kryter in view of Brauer.

Francoise et al teaches a plurality of longitudinally-spaced passenger seating unit having a seat with a base frame and main frame and ancillary furniture. The seating unit is attached to the floor of an aircraft and is angled from the longitudinal axis of the aircraft/aisle. The seating unit also has a sleeping unit. François et al or Kryter is silent on the seat track that is parallel to the longitudinal axis of the aircraft/aisle. However, Brauer discloses that seat tracks that are parallel to the longitudinal axis of the aircraft/aisle are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to have used seat tracks that are parallel to the longitudinal axis of the aircraft/aisle in Francois et al or Kryter's system as taught Brauer to allow the seats to be moved forward and backward to accommodate the passengers. Please note that since the seats of Francois et al or Kryter have longitudinal axis that are not parallel to the longitudinal axis of the aircraft/aisle, then there is an angle of greater zero is formed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Schmidt-Schaeffer discloses seating arrangements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-6899. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

Tric jol